



1

# 5/Proi Art  
hmpga-  
9/10/01

# INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, DC 20231

Re: Patent Application of Brad A. Armstrong  
Serial No.: 09/599,095  
Filed: 06/21/00

Title: ANALOG DOME-CAP SENSOR AND CIRCUITRY  
Examiner: Jones, S.  
GAU: 3713

RECEIVED  
SEP-5 2001  
TC 3700 MAIL ROOM

Dear Sir:

A payment of \$180.00 (small entity fee) is included herewith paying for consideration of additional references or prior art after the first Office Action on the merits.

Attached is an Information Disclosure Statement, Substitutes for forms 1449A/PTO and 1449B/PTO, along with full or partial and best copies of the references listed thereon. Please considered the listed references and make such consideration of Record. Please do not hesitate to request from Applicant any thing which would be of further assistance.

Applicant is pro se and requests that the PTO consider and make of Record each of the references cited by Applicant taking into account the continuing data claimed in the instant application. Of course some of the references listed are more relevant than others. However, the references alone or in proper combination with one another do not teach or suggest the present invention.


Listed in the attached Information Disclosure Statement, along with others, are two Japanese documents; 1) 5-87760, and 2) JP7302159. I have been given these Japanese documents by a third party, and I cannot attest to the validity of these documents, their dates, or the English translations which I have included for Patent Office consideration. Even though I cannot attest to the validity of these documents, I believe the present invention

as claimed is clearly patentable over these documents if they are "in fact" as presented to me. Please examine the present claims as if these two Japanese documents are valid disclosures.

Neither of these two Japanese documents teaches or suggests snap through "tactile feedback" of any kind, and therefore at least for that aspect while there are certainly others, the present invention is clearly allowable over these references alone or in proper combination with other references.

I believe the present claimed invention is patentable over the known prior art and request a patent be allowed. Thank you for your consideration and assistance with this important manner.

Very Respectfully;

  
Brad A. Armstrong, Inventor

Date: Aug. 29, 2001